

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/085,074                | 03/01/2002     | Chun-Hung Lin        | BHT-3111-239            | 9127             |  |
| 7:                        | 590 06/04/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| BRUCE H. TROXELL          |                |                      | WILKINS III, HARRY D    |                  |  |
| SUITE 1404<br>5205 LEESBU | RG PIKE        |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| FALLS CHUR                | CH, VA 22041   |                      | 1742                    |                  |  |
|                           |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/085,074   | LIN ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Auvisory Action  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Harry D Wilkins, III   | 1742  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applic<br>I) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper rep<br>ch places the applic              | ply to a<br>cation in                    |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).   | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. S            | See MPEP                                 |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in                               | e fee. The appropriate ext<br>the final Office action; or | tension fee under<br>(2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   | •  |   | ,  |  |  |  |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | ecause:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search (   | (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I   | pelow);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal by mat   | erially reducing or                                       | simplifying the                          |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel  | ing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected clair                                    | ms.                                      |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s): Double patenting rejecti  | ions based upon US  | <u>\$ 6,660,138</u> .                    |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a s   | eparate, timely file                                      | d amendment                              |  |  |  |
| ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-35</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | roved or b) disapproved by   | the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | , , , , ,  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   | ( ), ( · · · · - ) - <del> </del>  | <del></del> -   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the fact that each flexible element presses one abrasive of the protruding object outwardly toward the inner surface of the long tube for grinding was not contained in the finally rejected claims and would require further consideration.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as described in the final rejection grounds, there is motivation to combine each and every reference. In addition, Applicant's proposed amendment would have overcome the prior art rejection of claim 19 based on Lorincz et al in view of Pelrine due to Lin et al (US 6,660,138) being excluded as prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10:30am-9:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 571 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information fo unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 217-9197 (toll-free).

> Harry D Wilkins, III Examiner

Art Unit 1742

hdw

**ROY KING** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700